

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM
IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10534-10084
RECORD SERIES : JFK
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : NOSENKO RECORDS

Released under the John F. Kennedy
Assassination Records Collection
Act of 1992 (44 USC 2107 Note).
Case#:NW 53216 Date: 06-14-2017

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA
FROM : MILER.
TO :
TITLE : HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD
FORMS:ANATOLE RE NOSENKO
DATE : 05/14/69
PAGES : 51
SUBJECTS : NOSENKO
ANATOLE
NBR

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET
RESTRICTIONS :
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN FULL
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 02/02/01
OPENING CRITERIA :
COMMENTS : JFK-M-24:F44 2001.02.02.09:45:56:530084: NOT BELIEVED
RELEVANT (NBR). NOTES ARE DATED 15, 16 AND 17 JAN AND 14
MAY 1969.

**CLASSIFIED
ATTACHMENT**

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

~~SECRET~~

MRB E

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

14 May 69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE A. NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

c/c was given a copy of the following for delivery to Anatole today:

A. Memo for Director Security
"Solicitation Topics for Yuri Nosenko"

(A copy of the Draft was given to c/c on which I annotated final was dated 22 April.)

B. A carbon of the Memo for the Record dated 7 April 69,
Subject: Anatole A. Nosenko
With atts A B + C.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Scott Miller

FORM 7-67 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 JANUARY '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE Solie NOSENKO PAPER

FILE NUMBER

A Questions if he stated in re
his Dec '60 talk with Kovshuk
that it was a military
code clerk which was
recruited — more likely,
he stated important
recruitment code clerk
may be military -

(Check ref in Solie paper - this
probably DA-54 - info from
FBI intv transcript (?) Kovshuk
in Dec. 60)

OFFICE AND TITLE

V. J. elcl

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-67 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January '68

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

60-61 Nosenko's position

(Analytic Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation"
from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61, and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec 60-Jan 61 - in offices
V. PETROV's Section. Petrov told
personnel he could not find
mike reports and that look for
it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD		DATE
SUBJECT	(2) 60-61 Moseliko -	FILE NUMBER
<p>If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "mike" report in his Section? A overheard him ask for reports.</p> <p>A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Strikano announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements & rewards to cases and targets.</p>		
OFFICE AND TITLE		SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

1101



~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko trip to Cuba - Nov-Dec 60

Asatoki says only photographs
will substantiate Nosenko, as
Nikolaeov, did travel thru
Amsterdam — RR to Berlin —,
name alone as traveller on
manifests, travel control, etc.
not substantiation.

Requests he been shown
documentation to substantiate
actually was Nosenko.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

N'senko Attitude re Surfacing

FILE NUMBER

Anatoli suggests consideration about asking N if he would agree to have true information published under his name, such as political info, sketch of Gribanov from what he has provided. This to be test see N's reaction - suggest this and see what he would say about writing article about Gribanov. Set stage see what might be done.

(U)
Solic opinion he would agree.

A had asked and been told by Solie that N feared for his safety with respect to surfacing or living openly.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Klipper - Britanna relations -

Quatle says pawns talk
together re hunting or fishing -
Something re appointment in
Nosewood Transcript Re says
re pawns not right.

Did not understand point!

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. Stuebe

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

NOSauko Notes

FILE NUMBER

Anatoli categorically states it would have been impossible for N to transfer any notes or papers with him when transferring to another Department, check on Safer and material constant. A says if he had been told N kept notes in his apartment A would say "madness" but believable. He cannot accept transfer notes with N.

A Questions N's notes dealing with military targeting. How would he have. A says flatly military not under Kovstak. Possible Petrov in Section (sub) separate from Kovstak.

Giorgi Zhukov - ? demotion 58 A agrees (Zhukov) Cherepanov papers show Chief Section. Used to check "C papers" re Kovstak status.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Hild

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

CHEREPANOV write-up from British
(Caption: Aleksandr Nikolaevich CHEREPANOV - 5 pages only)

Solic read. Copy to him today.
JA read.
Anatole

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

KOVSHUK - in H.S. - demotion.

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says that Kovshuk got in trouble while he was in the US on a mission. He was recalled and demoted. He was a SCD officer not FCD. He was only in US 10 months.

Anatole does not accept Kosenko's explanation of reason for Kovshuk's demotion.

Anatole says, replying to Solie, he does not know if K's problem in US was girls. Solie said N indicated was involved girls in US.

However, Anatole says recall not because of girls according his information but did indicate needed investigation. Relates to cases he is studying.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

TAMARA MAMEDOVA

FILE NUMBER

Anatole, noting in transcript N's statement Subj said, not KGB suggests this he investigated. He is of opinion she might be KGB. Solie noted she traveled and active while in US - was in Washington.

A Solie he thinks she fits into case he studying - developing but in response to mild question which case said he had not previously mentioned but will when ready. A confirmed not case previously mentioned when Miles said he thought might be case to be reviewed.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miles

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January, '69

SUBJECT

Nosanko-Charanov-Guk

FILE NUMBER

Avatole says N-C-G closest
of friends. Would know all C & G
ops & agents and vice versa.

Points: N could identify Varsal
by name; would know C's agents.

A also commented that Guk in
Swiss would have been targetted vs.
Americans not concerned with
penetration Swiss police.

A in answer to JA question
said he knew for fact that N-C-G
closest friends - professional, social-
talk about women, etc., and he
had been present when N & C
talking about operations using
language, i.e., doubletalk, so
he, A, did not know what they
really talking about but indicating
to A they intimate re operations.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

Y. Nosenko Duties American Section

FILE NUMBER

Anatole returned to point that he sees no substantiation or evidence that N was actually involved and working against Americans - in two years he should have been in "10 cases" as participants - Kribanov would not tolerate "planning" on part of experienced linguist. Even as a concession by A that N was "deputy" he would be directly involved - in contact. A notes Kovshuk, higher rank.

Kryazanov did not know language, Koshopov and N should have worked and participated together.

Kovshuk and Churakov active - no cover.

Unbelievable he could stay 2 yrs without involvement and direct contact.

The response to Solis note re N approach to Kaysers at airport, which Solis noted Kaysers could not confirm. A says not confirmation - cover.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) N's Duties American Section

A very positive weak point in N's story is American Section duty - N can substantiate - no confirmation not normal - a reconstruction not actual role at time.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

2

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

Y. NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

AWATOLE emphasized point that
Nosenko should have directly participated
in approach to American Embassy
personnel or been in social contact
with Americans during the period
Jan 60 - Dec 61 when he in
Dept I Section I. A major point N's
good language, experience, etc.,
according to A's knowledge, means
that N should have followed American
Section ops policy and been directly

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

involved. In reply to A's
question Solie said only direct
confrontation N had with
American was in hallway
when [REDACTED] pitched back
[REDACTED] had not identified N.
Solie said N had attended
a (one?) 4th July celebration
at AMEMB, Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. B. Rice

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

Noseuko Bond Fides

FILE NUMBER

No doubt, not even 1% doubt, that
Noseuko is not a provocateur(is):
a statement Anatole reiterated
to J. Angleton, B. Solie and S. Milen.
Anatole's concern is to point
out the contradictions, major areas,
necessary to protect our security.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Ruler

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

17 Jan '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

TAPE - 1

Anatole read thru several volumes of transcripts of Solie interviews with McCoubie, the Cherepanov papers including the Russian and Solie's paper re Nosenko Jan. files. He recorded the his comments.

Rebrow — Cherepanov papers —
Met Bagley spoke and Dept SEC Directorate
Didn't get file to review but
It made clear to Bagley double agent
Newspaper account made it clear to
A same source as discussed with
Bagley 1962. went to McCoubie
1964 rec'd file from Murphy re 1
June 64 - analysis provocative
Analysis limited to 60-61 KGB
service background - no deep
analysis of whole case but notes
misinformation, etc
A position was had to have acc
info he would forced qns but
want to handle interrogation - puzzling

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONEXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Warned N re position - at time could not do research completely - later agreement reinterrogation to elicit entire story and that he (A) would review material and analyze - see what do.

Mr. Solie did good job - did what SB failed to do fills many gaps - abundant info - touches important aspects of N background - after analysis thinks many things to discuss and N can be really assessed as bona fide or provocateur on a mission.

One State Conclusion: 8-9 vols of info Solie elicited from (good) for conclusion.

Final vol conclusion - analysis of evidence - mistakes made - analysis & conclusions not supported by previous vols of N's information.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-12 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

6 months after Golitsyn - Nosenko contacts CIA in Geneva. Later he gave vast info KGB, structure, personnel, operations - speaks impressively freely - given cite Penkovsky - How can (A) you question bona fide because he gave freely - Cooperation not criteria to assess defector - if defector respects himself he may not cooperate all time.

The more cooperative the more suspect because he had to tell story - do mission free response does not impress A -

If Popov gave vast info then Penkovsky had green light - parallel to Golitsyn.

If KGB knows that Golitsyn made SCD info available to CIA then Nosenko gets green light - difference in detail.

★ 2nd rule assessment - background -

Personal = pre-service bio = character = ops/professional/bio
not speak about Penkovsky - speak as Nosenko

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-67 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

I Bio pro - KGB - not important dwell on this
 the Memo - some of minutes - no question against
 analysis why SB concluded not N, etc.
 important see if any connection to penetration
 of CIA - not explained if hostile interrogation
 mistake or deliberate but was warning to N.
 SB can make mistake or calculated -
~~But don't make~~ If CIA accepted decision
 (which A had made) then N's must
 see if decision results not getting dis info.

II Personal Character - different opinions
 rather not discuss - two sides - can be
 important but not major - nonsense re
 N's bragging - boasting - Boasting not
 that much key - exaggerated - If
 decides bona fide then important but
 if still questioning then look differently -
 Open question -

III Service in KGB - determine
 details of service accurate as given to CIA

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If he is not accurate in sources and years
 if different than factual & significantly lacking
 facts & speculations, then we cannot say as
 was done in analysis that promotions,
 salaries, etc. acceptable on basis of
 speculation. Example N info vs other
 evidence - cannot discount evidence
 if contrary what he says.

1 Dept 1 Section Dec 60 - Jan 62 (61
 importance in coincides last 2 (Asys)
 yrs of G - important info.

Not true according to G's - G
 knows for fact N did not serve I I
 G told Bagley 62 - among list he
 saw Nosenko name - G did not pinpoint
 N - but said list wrong because G knew
 N not in American Section.

Based on fact - not thorough enough
 many people thought this still valid -
 still fact.

Crucial

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A. Important to his mission. But in this Dept. 'to give authoritative grounds speak about ops vs. Ambul in this period.

B. Evidence against N

Here A speculate - maybe A tried to see if he knew look for N not in T1. See first reaction - check about penetration - & did not react as they might have expected. Only said wanted evidence whole - did not exclude disinformation.

what is false about background is that he worked in T1 and he held position Deputy - false as fact - 59 position abolished. In 60 was there and no N -

GRYAZNOV was Deputy T1 in '59 - when deputy abolished he became Senior Case officer - if there were deputies would be

GRYAZNOV or someone in T1 not necessary

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE *AL N.*

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Assessment of info to judge sincerity -
two types operational and political (policy - connection
KGB in gov't) 1959 Stolepin - soup - etc
He has provided VEST info KGB personnel,
agents & operations - what significance
875 KGB officers (Soviet Lend -
probably 2000) 2000 + 300 agents
250 current FCD + SED officers

(tape off - Zechin - Mikhail
Solovtsova photo, Brussels - connection Belitsky;
not Yuri - lecture KGB records)

Assessment of info - repeat identification KGB?
Pankovskiy did this -
Golitsyn did even less -
Substance - what this means -

How many known CIA or others services
subtract this from N's figures -
~~total~~ minus retirees - teachers -
evaluate op's value remaining -
extent (what he gave) info about each

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

how does he characterize them -
categorize them - just names - nothing to do

TAKCHIANOV Travelling - suspicious
How N's info fits into what G told personnel
Necessary reduce N's info vs what G's identification
Not analysis - as in paper - explain which was
Bureau - how explain confirm other sources?

Analysis of what 300 agents means -
may be very superficial - lacking significance of
agents - 100 3rd countries - some cases leads no agents
Agents in tourist field - ? of value.

G says only 5% tourists cooperative per KGB policy
How many real agents did he identify?

- Johnson defense ministry pouches
Analyze overall - then even particular cases
Vassall - analyze in depth

Operations - value & significance

Thibos in Embassy - Soviet mistake
means American -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

An record analyzing later that he
identified Belitskiy —

Important his info allegedly Soviet intel
reorganized in '62

SUSLOV + VAKRUSHEV leads to
recruitment - what significance - analyze -
Some questions of manner S+V
fed of the Nos volunteered - (check this
important re CIA penetration in SB)
Some hazards guess - Nos did not
volunteer names.

Coincide strange examples same time
as defection V gives evidence likes to drink
Vakrushev's actions supported validity
Nos' statement.

Value of his political info -
only one with mentioned (by Sadio)
OSWALD -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

FORM
7-67 1964

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If genuine defector would have immediately spoke
about KGB policies - May '59 meeting -
Shelepin app'd - obligatory read report.
did not come - only relevant was new
task KGB -

RUMANTSEV - shot in '59 for
contact with Americans -
tried to give secret report of Shelepin -
ORDER - reports everyone read - SCD
didn't explain how Rumantsev exposed
who agent, who exposed -
Sokolovskii

Judgements - errors, inconsistencies - omissions
conclusionary info

62-64
JA One fact kept from SR, was info
re May 59 - Shelepin to see if
defector would disclose - important

McClure - KGB defector dismissed, act 64
As explained -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Tourist info discredit CIA - FBI
3rd country officials - very accurate
results from extensive investigations

61 - Analysis - evidence NOS not bonafide
defector but sent special mission

1st - mistake made interview NOS by one
man - wider experience - specialists -
special assessors - impossible 1 man

2nd Dissemination question - can be no
broad dissemination - mistake to send
all NOS info to FBI & 3rd countries -
before giving all this work showed be
no question valid - only individual cases

3rd - question of efficiency of polygraph
in general -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954CLASS ~~SECRET~~GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Major points when read trail of info -

1. Info re SED agents in American Embassy
53-64 -

Andrew - identified as Smith repair work -
Howard -

NOS should have EX2 involved.

Annual reports Depts - Directorate - Rewards.
SED most open - FCD taken to talk -

Churakov, Guk, Koushuk

2. Factual basis disagreement re success
vs AmEmb - Biggest AmEmb SED Success 54-55-56
case completed. no details - new info.

success explains promotion Col* Kurbentaukov Corbatanov
NOT Andrew - (dismissed) Kurbentaukov -
GABATEUKA

KGB college teach re KGB Agent. 1st time General theoretical
discussion about definition of agent - book about agent.
invited to give right definition

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

FORM
7-67 1954

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

3. G not able make research re who in re col. * recruited 54-56 - areas look a) Stratigraph of number 55 - one ³ officers - points this way help analyze all aspects b) recruited Amb-high level; c) Someone CIA That paid - or code clerk with big production -

4. Indication some penetration Agent by 57 - may be same or not - which was reactivated by Kovshuk in Wash - leaving aside ANDREY -

mentioned he (Kovshuk) slept with agent in D.C + drinking - Woman agent in State or agent saw from Moscow -

Venereal (venereal) disease

^{FBI} (VAL^Banova) actress w/ Kovshuk - Inge LAPUHOV (P)

(Review ^{FBI} surveillance reports - sources -) doesn't include or reject Andrey - could be

coincidence date Kovshuk & Langelle return Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(check tape. A told us
Langgels wasn't known in
advance he to handle one
agent — when told - who - etc
1 for JA)
★ ★

ZHUKOV was military field -
60 Dec Zhukov still in 1st Dep't Mil.

5,5854 — important recruitment -
analyzing Popov case -
areas Berlin Paris Bern (Swiss) MO 2 court
re CIA.

read Cherepanov papers

BOWDEN

WINTERS 2

Langgels 1 sequence.

Dulacki 3

Popov not compromised Winters letter.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1

DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

TE

SUBJECT

(break in tape telephone call

FILE NUMBER

Lanselle Nos. 5/17

1. Planning to recruit him to
leave - / every CIA man

needed revenge for Popov - move
against those in their hands - (This needs
1 put against 53-54 compromise theory.)

Kotov from Vienna - went
returned to Berlin in 58

High level penetration CIA not solved

DE

Surveillance (for) of Lanselle, significant
paper G read

per A's info

6. Significant penetration 60-61 which
now controversial - C vs Nos - comparison -

★ Crucial question - do you accept what Nos says
Denny position, etc. requires reanalysis
Way to resolve controversy / conflict reanalysis

Investigate re penetration

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

CLASSIFIED
~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

7. Kosolayov trip to Helsinki -
controversy - direct opposite dates
names, etc. - supported
independent info not forming NOS -
(double-check - significance Sofia?)

8. Mission not to place NOS in station but
to confuse & mislead after defection.
He influenced investigation -

Completely inaccurate he worked
Dept I Section

Absolute statement he was not
and not Deputy - a fact -
TAPE II = ☐ -

9. Code Checks case
need to be reexamined -

NOS June 62 2 pieces
Belitsky
Russell

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-67 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Vassall info given by Galtby in April 62 -
Enough from Galtby so that Vassall case would be solved irrespective of NBS and UCB - known to CIA -

(JA - when came Geneva 1st time he wanted not to go out with British girl because of penetration BIS - doubts to CIA about security of British & leads)

Cannot accept 6 months too short
Solic says not 6 only 3
Axtone says can you discount important when contact made
JA - contact at point of departure from Geneva - only few meetings

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A - and Belitsky case which convinced our Z men binafiler because code (cover) names our people - alias - also ~~the~~ named was KGB officer who handling from Dept D - disinformation -

ZENkin - after Brussels lecture KGB colleague '59 - A's analysis B case confirmed this what Z said

New info in Nos (Soviet) paper -

Belitsky info could have led to Blake

LAVINIA - Golienewski - A concluded KGB - UB op - whose info led to Blake - put together with Belitsky most known KGB - evidence KGB supporting reliability of Golienewski -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Raise questions why Golunewski started
why USSR - possible implication to
MI-5 - why motivations - why
giving away Blake.

Relay support to A theory Golunewski
introduced by calculation.

JA - what I had to say about
members MI-5 believe Blake fits
type agent who ideologically
could be give away - no
recruitments, no spitting -
Gave away names, dates, etc.
Imposed own view when in
prison - escape changed MI-5
Dick Ellis controller for
Blake in Korea - DE German
agent admits - No OSS liaison
in NYC - DE critic Philby -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

SECRET

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - Point our record - detailed assessment by British derogatory promotion - Philpotts and Birch - long statements - ops - concluding Belitsky said to make contact This said to SR - started Brits who turned down then switched to CIA.

What exact info Belitsky gave substance re Blake or lead to Blake - something known only to small group - (Scientist's traveler -)

NDS info not commensurate claims. He was position 60-61

Johnson Case - Paris -
Important or Give-away.
Pouches

FCD would not need help from SED to open pouches - This strange -
Could be GPR agent.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Saw VAKULICH Jan 18 1964 Paris -
V & Kovshuk accompanied Nixon -
no mention Diroster -

Kovshuk - Anderson Case -

Possible Agent Guk 53-54 in U.S.
ref to Anatoli's previous case
0 40% -

Query by Solie -

Fark Guk + Nosenko closest friends
closer than Golitsyn + Guk
add Churanov - two secrets -
Anatoli good friend

Solie Did Guk talk about Nosenko - yes
Some -

Churanov recommended NOS, i.e.,
from American dept not from top
down - NOS back what ask when
went to Kobulev.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~ ~~Hand~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

LYALIN -

59 technical of Directorate -
Reprints 2nd CD.

electronic equipment recruitment - code clerks.
letter re Air research from Source?
Backgrounds in Moscow - Poland 54-59

BAGRICHEV may have been case officer
from US to London.

Peckovskiy investigation -
wireless? if Propaganda knows Pen -

Supported Viadual.
Maurice de Jean -

Dinosaur - Vakhrenshov

SASHA aspect - did not report
Kopiekin - special status under Gribanov
he seemed knew - important - no details
56-59 - 60 A doesn't know where K was.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

SASHA — Nikolayev

Pictures travel Germany Kardin-Nosukes

SASHA (Oplor) film sending
pictures from Europe up to A.


Victor Koslov — (MIA)

Article about penetration CIA of KGB —
whose also — Pearson how came about
thing — ref by Nosukes —

Mamedova Tassara —
analysis FBI reporting —

overlap similarities NOS & G info —

Preis friend —

his case was accepted, 

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE
FILE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Sacer with Garrison name

Crupaymoff - possible contact
May 60 - Stansberg-

Morone (murder)

If approach Stansberg bl- case
revised -

Kovshuk Dec 60 - Jan 61
2 cases cannot be connected -

~~REDACTED~~ info in 1959 -
no recruitment

60 recruitment but not explained -

45 minutes - ruled out -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

DATE

FILE NUMBER

Taylor approached later outside -
NOS explanation -

Dweller -
most crucial point - see himself
not others -
more - Garbler

TAPE 3

Garbler

HORBALLY (HORBALLY)

Popov case indication that KGB
learned he warned CIA he under
control. Clear up - penetration CIA -

KOVSHUK arrival - connected
Langelle - examine -

Recruited old man Moscow
before Langelle - Kovshuk would work
in Wash.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

SECRET
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT


FILE NUMBER

Trip re Kevshuk sleeping
drinking may be cover-up -

Will not analyze Cherepanov
papers -
dis (mis) information - major by
itself.

also support NoSanko -

Ground work for new operations

 Cherepanov heads of Section -

Harmstone paper - cited head of
Section ZHUKOV in 58 - aug -
worked against diplomats -
inconsistent - against
military - close to NoSanko -

Analysis info not convincing
mission
misinformation fits Counter
intelligence

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On evidence no doubt
provocateur - sent

60-61 crucial chosen to
give him status access to
leads -

Reinvestigation suggestions
to come later -

CIA - code man 60-61

Penkovskiy case -

CIA complex 55 - to

Penkovskiy -

SA - may not want comment -

OSwald - nothing to state
First polygraph - I had heard
nothing before assassination

French leads - we
have not examined

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-57 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFIED

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD	DATE
SUBJECT	FILE NUMBER
<p>De Jean French leads don't work 107 N-</p>	
<p>Viaduct - British results along lines French -</p>	
<p>Motivation - not examined</p>	
<p>Barghorn - case figured prominently to come to our attention</p>	
<p>Coordinating Committee FCD & SCD 1 - not exactly Coordinating Section within FCD - not 2 Directorates mainly coordinating intelligence and work against American intelligence -</p>	
<p>Not for purpose of coordinating FCD & SCD influential but -</p>	
OFFICE AND TITLE	SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

main time came up was with Bureau
FBI re Sasha

French leads -

De Jean - ^{A says} partly confirmed
by Viaduk + Nosenko as
in interrogation - (compromised)

De Jean said he approached
& gave some info to Gribanov
Chalet said per A -

(J) → GORBUNOV (Gribanov)

Giving away of De Jean -

DST says no recruitment
agreed no an agent

(Canadian
case) Rock Bottom - Canadian Sub -
Nose leads fit Blitzy lead -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-52 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January 69

SUBJECT

100-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)

2 points of "misinformation"
from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 100-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec. 60-Jan 61 - in offices
V. PETROV's Section, Petrov told
personnel he could not find
strike reports and that look for
it.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V. Petrov - Chief

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DECLASSIFICATION

(10)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

(2) 60-61 noseuko

FILE NUMBER

If Petrov not responsible for
 Embassy why would he have
 "mild" report in his Section?
 A overheard him ask for
 reports.

A says he is sure that before his
 defection N would have no
 problem learning about
 recruitments and operations.
 Embassy announced
 successes - rewards
 followed in few days - this
 known. Point A makes is
 that N would know - he
 could analyze who doing
 what and piece together
 with announcements &
 rewards to cases and
 targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-52 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 1969

SUBJECT

SKVORTSOV - Storsberg Case
America House

FILE NUMBER

A says momentary that SKVORTSOV could pose as French businessman. A says he knows S does not know enough French - few words - not enough cover - too risky. Questions Storsberg identification - question in A's mind. Solid not at Storsberg and Motone identification and that SKVORTSOV used English and went to America House with Finnish partner. A says cover would break easily.

In Vienna SKVORTSOV did not know French - A could be wrong - maybe SKVORTSOV started learning in Germany after '55.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

FORM 7-62 1954

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

Date: 02/13/01

Page: 1

JFK ASSASSINATION SYSTEM
IDENTIFICATION FORM

AGENCY INFORMATION

AGENCY : CIA
RECORD NUMBER : 104-10534-10084
RECORD SERIES : JFK
AGENCY FILE NUMBER : NOSENKO RECORDS

DOCUMENT INFORMATION

ORIGINATOR : CIA
FROM : MILER.
TO :
TITLE : HANDWRITTEN NOTES ON MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD
FORMS: ANATOLE RE NOSENKO
DATE : 05/14/69
PAGES : 51
SUBJECTS : NOSENKO
ANATOLE
NBR

DOCUMENT TYPE : PAPER, TEXTUAL DOCUMENT
CLASSIFICATION : SECRET
RESTRICTIONS :
CURRENT STATUS : POSTPONED IN FULL
DATE OF LAST REVIEW : 02/02/01
OPENING CRITERIA :
COMMENTS : JFK-M-24:F44 2001.02.02.09:45:56:530084: NOT BELIEVED
RELEVANT (NBR). NOTES ARE DATED 15, 16 AND 17 JAN AND 14
MAY 1969.

[R] - ITEM IS RESTRICTED

~~SECRET~~

MRB E -

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

14 May 69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

elci was given a copy of the following for delivery to Anatole today:

A. Memo for Director Security
"Solicitation Topics for Yuri Nosenko"

(A copy of the Draft was given to elci on which I annotated final was dated 22 April.)

B. A carbon of the Memo for the Record dated 7 April 69,
Subject: Anatole Re Nosenko
with atts A B & C.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. Scott Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE 16 JANUARY '69

SUBJECT ANATOLE RE Solie NOSENKO PAPER

FILE NUMBER

A Questions if he stated in re
his Dec '60 talk with Kovshuk
that it was a military
code clerk which was
recruited — more likely
he stated important
recruitment code clerk
maybe military -

(Check ref in Solie paper - This
probably DA-54 - info from
FBI intv transcript (?) Kovshuk
in Dec 60)

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

✓ + e/c

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January 1967

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Solie Nosenko paper)
2 points of "misinformation"
from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61, and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no recruitments of AmEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claim, follows but this is not the case.

Dec. 60 - Jan 61 - in offices
V. Petrov's Section. Petrov told
personnel he could not find
mike reports AmEmb look for
it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

SECRET

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) 60-61 NOSELKO -

If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "mild" report in his Section?
A overheard him ask for reports.

A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Kribanov announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements & rewards to cases and targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

SECRET

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko trip to Cuba - Nov-Dec 60

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says only photograph
will substantiate Nosenko, as
Nikolae, did travel thru
Amsterdam — RR to Berlin —
name alone as traveller on
manifests, travel control, etc,
not substantiation.

Requests he been shown
documentation to substantiate
actually was Nosenko.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Attitude re Surfacing

FILE NUMBER

Anatole suggests consideration about asking N if he would agree to have true information published under his name, such as political info, sketch of Gribanov from what he has provided. This to be test see W's reaction - suggest this and see what he would say about writing article about Gribanov. See stage see what might be done.

(W)
Solie opinion he would agree.

A had asked and been told by Solie that N feared for his safety with respect to surfacing or living openly.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

CLASSIFICATION
~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Klippen - Britanno relations -

Quatale says paws talk
together re hunting or fishing -
Something re appointment Lin
Nose and transcript re says
the paws not right.

Did not understand point!

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

M. Stiller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Notes

FILE NUMBER

Anatole categorically states it would have been impossible for N to transfer any notes or papers with him when transferring to another Department, check on safes and material constant. A says if he had been told N kept notes in his apartment A would say "madness" but believable. He cannot accept transfer notes with N.

A questions N's notes dealing with military targeting. How would he have. A says flatly military note under Kovshuk. Possible Petrov in Section (sub) separate from Kovshuk.

Giorgi Zhukov - ? demotion 58 A agrees. (ZHUKOV) Cherepanov papers show Chief Section. Used to check "C papers" re Kovshuk status.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

CHEREPAKOV write-up from British
(caption: Aleksandr Nikolaevich CHEREPANOV - 5 pages only)

Solic read. Copy to him today.
JA read.
Anatole

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

KOVSHUK - in U.S. - demotion.

FILE NUMBER

Anatole says that Kovshuk got in trouble while he was in the US on a mission. He was recalled and demoted. He was a SCD officer not FCD. He was only in US 10 months.

Anatole does not accept Nosenko's explanation of reasons for Kovshuk's demotion.

Anatole says, replying to Solie, he does not know if K's problem in US was girls. Solie said N indicated was involved girls in U.S.

However, Anatole says recall not because of girls according this information but did indicate needs investigation. Relates to cases he studying.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

F. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

TAMARA MAMEDOVA

Anatoli, noting in transcript N's statement Subj said, not KGB suggests this he investigated. He is of opinion she might be KGB. Solie noted she traveled and active while in US - was in Washington.

A Solie he thinks she fits into case he studying - developing but in response to niled question which case said he had not previously mentioned but will when ready. A confirmed not case previously mentioned when miles said he thought might be case to be reviewed.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miles

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January, '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko-Cherenov-Guk

FILE NUMBER

Avtale says N-C-G closest of friends. Would know all C & G ops & agents and vice versa.

Points: N could identify Vansal by name; would know C's agents.

A also commented that Guk in Suisse would have been targetted vs. Americans not concerned with penetrations Swiss police.

A in answer to JA question said he knew for fact that N-C-G closest friends - professional, social - talk about women, etc., and he had been present when N & C talking about operations using language, i.e., doubletalk, so he, A, did not know what they really talking about but indicating to A they intimate re operations.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U.S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

4. Nozuko Duties American Section

Anatole returned to point that he sees no substantiation or evidence that N was actually involved and working against Americans - in two years he should have been in "10 cases" as participants - Krivanov would not tolerate "planning" on part of experienced linguist. Even as a concession by A that N was "deputy," he would be directly involved - in contact. A notes Kovshuk, higher rank.

Kryazanov did not know language, Koshtopov and N should have worked and participated together.

Kovshuk and Churakov active - no cover.

Unbelievable he could stay 2 yrs without involvement and direct contact.

True response to Solie note re N approach to Keyser at airport, which Solie noted Keyser could not confirm. A saw not confirmation - cover.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

(2) N's Duties American Section

FILE NUMBER

A very positive weak point in N's story is American Section duty - N can substantiate - no confirmation - not normal - a reconstruction not actual role at time.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

U. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 Jan '69

SUBJECT

Y. NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

ANATOLE emphasized point that
Nosenko should have directly participated
in approach to American Embassy
personnel or been in social contact
with Americans during the period
Jan 60 - Dec 61 when he in
Dept I Section T. A major point N's
good language, experience, etc.,
according to A's knowledge, means
that N should have followed American
Section ops policy and been directly

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

involved. In reply to A's
question Solie said only direct
confrontation N had with
American was in hallway
when ~~██████████~~ pitched but
~~██████████~~ had not identified N.
Solie said N had attended
a (one?) 4th July celebration
at AMEMB, Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

W. B. Rice

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January '69

SUBJECT

Nosenko Bona Fides

FILE NUMBER

No doubt, not even 1% doubt, that
Nosenko is not a provocateur(is):
a statement Anatole reiterated
to J. Angleton, B. Solie and S. Miller.
Anatole's concern is to point
out the contradictions, major areas,
necessary to protect our security.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

G. S. Miller

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

17 Jan '69

SUBJECT

ANATOLE RE NOSENKO

FILE NUMBER

TAPE - 1

Anatole read thru several volumes of transcripts of Solie interviews with Nosenko, the Cherepanov papers including the Russian and Solie's paper re Nosenko's own files. He recorded the his comments:

Bowdan — Cherepanov papers — met Bagley of the And Dept SC Directorate. Didn't get file to review but it made clear to Bagley double agent. Newspaper account made it clear to A same source as discussed with Bartley 1962. went to McCone 1964 rec'd file from Murphy re. 11 June 64 — analysis, provocateur. Analysis limited to 60-61 KGB service background — no deep analysis of whole case. but notes misinformation, etc.

A position was — had to have acc info he would/could give but want to hostile interrogation — puzzles

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Warned N re position - at time could not do research completely - later agreement reinterrogation to elicit entire story and that he (A) would review material and analyze - see what do.

Mr. Golie did good job - did what SB failed to do fills many gaps - abundant info - touches important aspects of N background - after analysis thinks many things to discuss and N can be really assessed as bona fide or provocateur on a mission.

One state Conclusion: 8-9 vols of info SMO elicited basis (good) for Conclusion.

Final vol conclusion - analysis of evidence - mistakes made - analysis & conclusions not supported by previous vols of N's information.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Nosenko type caliber defector from KGB
need to assess timing and meaning
account for what happened before
Penkovsky Case - relevant re
walk-in - agents -

Popov genuine agent in GRU -
info re ops - agents - military - personnel

after Popov arrest within 1 1/2 yrs
another GRU walk-in - need
analyze Penkovsky light Popov
parallel - up-dated info. look at Penkovship
from Popov

∴ Nosenko background against what
went before - difference Penk 2 yrs
key to Penkovship was missile info
which took more than year to prepare
Penk go to Rocket School 59 - gave him basis
similar to Nosenko what before

Golitsyn came Dec 61 - info re KGB
structure - major reorganization, new tasks,
personnel, operations, agents - some
FCD + some SCD - C info indicated
some recruitments preparation AMEMB Moscow

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

6 months after Golitsyn - Nosenko
contacts CIA in Geneva. ¹ Later gave vast
info KGB, structure, personnel, operations -
Speaks impressively freely - first cite
Penkovsky - How can (A) you question
bone fides because he gave freely -
Cooperation not criteria to assess
defector - if defector respects himself
he may not cooperate all time.

The more cooperative the more suspect
because he had to tell story - do mission
free response does not impress A -

If Popov gave vast info then Penkovsky
had given light - parallel to Golitsyn

If KGB knows that Golitsyn made SCID
info available to CIA then Nosenko gets
green light - difference in detail.

★ 2nd rule assessment - background -

Personal = pre-service bio = character = ops/professional/bio
not speak about Penkovsky - speak re Nosenko

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

I Bio pre-KGB - not important dwell on this
he Nosenko - son of minister - no question against
Analyze why SB concluded not N, etc.
important See if any connection to penetration
of CIA - not explained if hostile interrogation
mistake or deliberate but was warning to N.
SB can make mistake or calculated -
~~Part of N's story~~ If CIA accepted decision
(which A had made) that N was must
see if decision results not getting disinfo.

II Personal Character - different opinions
rather we discuss - two sides - can be
important but not major - Monseigneur
N's bragging - boasting - Boasting not
that much key - exaggerated - If
decides bona fide then important but
if still questioning then look differently -
open question -

III Service in KGB - determine
details of service accurate as given to CIA

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If he is not accurate in service and years if different than factual & significantly lacking facts operationally, then we cannot say as was done in analysis that promotions, salaries, etc. acceptable on basis of speculation. Examine N into vs other evidence - cannot discount evidence if contrary what he says.

1 Dept 1 Section Dec 60 - Jan 62 (61) ^{Doc}
importance is coincides last 2 (says)
yrs of G - important imp.

Not true according to G's - G knows for fact N did not serve I!
G told Bagley 62 - among list he saw Nosenko's name - G did not pinpoint N - but said list wrong because G knew N not in American Section.

Based on fact - not theory, even though many people thought this still valid - still fact.

Crucial

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM 7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

A. Important to his mission but in this Dept. to give authoritative grounds speak about ops - is Ambert in this period.

B. Evidence against N

Here A speculate - maybe G tested to see if he knew fact that N not in T1. See first reaction - check about penetration - G did not react as they might have expected. Only said wanted analyze whole - did not exclude disinformation.

what is false about background is that he worked in T1 and he held position Deputy - false as fact - 59 position abolished. In 60 was there and no N -

GRYAZNOV was Deputy, T1 in '59 - when deputyship abolished he became senior case officer - if there were deputies would be GRYAZNOV or someone in T1 not newcomer

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE *AL N.*

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Assessment of info to judge sincerity -
two types: operational and political (policy) - connections
KGB in Greek) 1959 Sholepin - conf - etc
He has provided VAST info KGB personnel,
agents + operations - what significance
875 KGB officers (Sole link -
probably 2000) 2000 + 300 agents
250 current FCD + SCD officers

(Tape off - Zechin - Mikhail
Solonote photo - Brussels - connection Balitsky,
not Yuri - lecture KGB evidence)

Assessment of info - repeat identification KGB
Parkovskiy did this -
Goltzner did even less -
Substance - what this means -

How many known CIA or others services
subtract this from N's figures -
~~total~~ minus retirees - trackers -
evaluate ops value remaining -
extent (what he gave) into about each

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

How does he characterize them -
categorize them - just names - nothing to do,

TAKCHIANOV Travelling - Suspicious
How N's info fits into what G told ^{people} personnel.
Necessary reduce N's info vs what G's identification
Not analysis - as in paper - explain which over
Bureau - how explain confirm other sources?

Analysis of what 300 agents means -
may be very superficial - lacking significance of
agents - 100 3rd countries - some cases leads not agents
agents in tourist field - ? of value.

G says only 5% tourists cooperative per KGB policy
How many real agents did he identify?
- Johnson defense ministry policies
Analyze overall - then even particular cases
Vassall - analyze in depth

Operations - value & significance

Things in Embassy - Soviet mistake
means American -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On record analyze later that he
identified Belitskiy -

Important his info allegedly Soviet intel
reorganized in '62

SUSLOV + VAKRUSHEV leads to
recruitment - what significance - analyze -
Solic questions if names S+V
fed of the Nos volunteers - (check this
important re CIA penetration in SB)
Solic hazards guess Nos did not
volunteer names.

Coincide strange examples same time
as defection V gives evidence lines to drink
Vakrushev's actions supported validity
Nos' statement.

Value of his political info -
only one point mentioned (by Solic)
D.5 WALD -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

If genuine defector would have immediately spoke
about KGB policies - May '59 meeting -
Shelepin app'v - obligatory read report.
did not come - only relevant was new
task KGB -

RHMANTSEV - Shot in '59 for
contact with Americans -
tried to give secret report of Shelepin -
ORDER - reports everyone read - SCD
didn't explain how Rumantsev exposed.
who agent, who exposed -
Sokolovskii

Judgements - errors, inconsistencies - omissions
conclusions info

JA ⁶²⁻⁶⁴ one fact kept from SR, was in for
re May 59 - Shelepin to see if
defector would disclose - important

McClure - Khrushchev dismissal act 64
On explained -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Tourist info discredit CIA - FBI
3rd country efficiency - very successful
results from extensive investigations

67 - Analysis - evidence NOS not bona fide
defectors but sent special mission

1st - Mistake made interview NOS by one
man - wider experience - specialists -
special mission - impossible 1 man.

2nd Dissemination question - can be no
broad dissemination - mistake to send
all NOS info to FBI & 3rd countries -
before giving all this work should be
no question valid - only individual cases

3rd - question of efficiency of polygraph
in general -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

DATE

FILE NUMBER

Major points when read vols of info -

1. Info re SCD agents in American Embassy
53-64 -

Andrey - identified as Smith repair coles -
Howard -

NOS should have 5x2 if not more.

Annual reports Depts - Directories - rewards.
SCD must open - FCD taken to talk -

Churakov, Guk, Kouznetsov

2. Factual basis disagreement re success

vs Andrey - Biggest Andrey SCD Success 54-55-56
case completed. no details - new info.

success explains promotion Col* Kurbentanov
NOT Andrey - (dismissed) { Kurbentanov -
GABARENKO

KGB college took no KGB Agent. 1st time General theoretical
discussion about definition of agent - book about agent.
invited * to give right definition

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

3. G not able make research re who in re Col.*
 recruited 54-56 - areas look a) Stalingrad
 op summer 55 - one ³ officers - points this way
 help arrive all aspects b) recruited Amb-high
 level c) Someone CIA That period - on code
 close with big production -

4. Indication some penetration AmEmb
 by 57 - may be same or not - which was
 reactivated by Kovshuk in USSR -
 leaving aside ANDREY -

mentioned he (Kovshuk) slept with agent in D.C.
 + Drinking - woman agent in State or agent sent from Moscow -

Venerable (venerkal) disease

7-10 (Vald'mova) actress w/ Kovshuk. Inge
 LAPUHOV (P)

(Review ^{FBI} surveillance reports - females -)
 doesn't include or reject Andrey - could be

coincidence date Kovshuk + Pangelle
 return Moscow.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1

EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
 DOWNGRADING AND
 DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(check tape. A told us
Langelle wasn't known in
advance he to handle one
agent — when told - who - etc
for JA)
★

ZHUKOV was military field -
60 Dec Zhukov still in 1st Dep't mil.

5,5859 — important recruitment
analyzing Popov case -
areas Berlin Paris Bern (Swiss) MO 2 out
re CIA

read Cherepanov papers
BOWDEN
WINTERS 2
Langelle 1 sequence
Dulacki 3

Popov not compromised Winters letter

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

(break in tape telephone call

FILE NUMBER

Lougelle NOS ^{say}

1 dreaming to recruit him to have - every CIA man

needed revenge for Popov - move against those in their hands - (This needs put against 53-54 compromise theory.)

KOTOV from Vienna - went returned to Berlin in 58

High level penetration CIA not solved

DE

Surveillance (for) of Lougelle, significant paper to read -

per A's info

6. Significant penetration 60-61 which now controversial - C vs NOS - comparison -

★ Crucial questions - do you accept what NOS says - Deputy position, etc. requires search for way to resolve controversy / conflict analyze

Investigate re penetration

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

7. Kosolayov trip to Helsinki -
controversy - direct opposite dates
names, etc. - supported
independent info not favoring NOS -
(double-check - significance Sofia?)

8. Mission not to place NOS in status but
to confuse & mislead after defection.
He influenced investigation -

Completely inaccurate he worked
Dep't I I Section

Absolute statement he was not
and not Deputy - a fact -
TAPE II = ☐ -

9. Code Clerk's case
need to be reexamined -

NOS June 62 2 pieces
Belitsky
Russell

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Vassall info given by Gritzyn in
April 62 -
Enough from Gritzyn so that
Vassall case would be solved
irrespective of NIS and UFA -
known to CIA -

(JA - when came Geneva 1st time
he wanted not to go out with
British girl because of
penetration BIS - doubts to
CIA about security of Brit
basis & leads)

Cannot accept 6 months too short
Socie says not 6 only 3
Assess how can you discount
important when contact made
JA - contact at point of departure
from Geneva - only few meetings

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

FORM
7-62 1954~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATIONGROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - and Belitsky case which convinced our 2 main bona fides because code (cover) names our people - alias - also his named was KGB officer who handling from Dept D - disinformation -

ZENKIN - after Brussels lecture KGB colleague '59 - A's analysis B case confirmed this what Z said.

new info in Nos (Soviet) paper -

Belitsky info could have led to Blake.

LAVINIA - Golienowski - A concluded KGB - UB op. whose info led to Blake - put together with Belitsky now known KGB - evidence KGB supporting reliability of Golienowski -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Raises questions why Golunewski started
why WGB - possible implications to
MI-5 - why motivations - why
giving away Blake.

Really supports to A theory Golunewski
introduced by calculation.

JA - What I had to say certain
members MI-5 believe Blake fits
type agent who ideologically
could be give away - no
recruitments, no spotting -
Gave away names, addresses, etc.
Opposed our view when in
prison - escape changed MI-5
Dick Ellis controller for
Blake in Korea - DE German
agent admits - no OSS liaison
in NYC - DE controller Philby -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

JA - Point our record - detailed
assessment by British derogatory
propaganda - Philpotts in Paris -
long statements - ops - conclusive
Belitzky saw to make contact
This said to SR - started Brits
who turned down then switched
to CIA.

What exact info Belitzky gave
Substance re Blake or lead to
Blake - Something known only to
small group - (Scientist traveller -)

NDS info not commensurate
claims. he was position 60-61

Johnson Case - Paris -
Important or Give-away.
Pouches

FCD would not need help from SCB to
open pouches - This strange -
Could be GRILL agent.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

DATE _____

FILE NUMBER

HOWARD case, -

John Disease Smith — Secret announcement
interesting took place fall 67 — KGB
worried — if not informed about NSA work —

MIKE (audio) case -

Question: When NOS gave Solie says
June 62 - when details - 64?

JA → Colitz info makes to Dept May 62
↳ gave enough to impact.

Question how NOS could get detailed plan he had - Gribanov would know not lower level -

PETROV - ~~Latv~~

Major info assisted Therefore basis given away by NOS

Important into VOS exam -

Shlobov - "New Political Task of KGB"

Buckhorn - " " " " Sep

JA - one first if not 1st thing when defected would be NO5 re Shalepin paper vital National Security.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE _____

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Saw VAKRushch Jan 18 1964 Paris -
V & Kovshuk accompanied Nixon -
no mention Dino Saver -

Kovshuk - Audrey Case -

Possible Agent Guk 53-54 in U.S.
ref to Anatoli's previous case
40% -

Query by Solie -

Fark Guk + Nosenko closest friends
closer than Golitzyn + Guk
add Churanov - has secrets -
Anatoli good friend
Solie Did Guk talk about Nosenko - yes
Some -

Churanov recommended NOS, i.e.,
from American dept not from top
down - NOS knew what ask when
went to Koblenz.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

(40)

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

LYALIN -

FILE NUMBER

59 technical of Directorate -
Deputy 2nd CD

electronic equipment recruitment - code clerks
letter re Air Research from Source?

Backgrounds in Moscow - Poland 54-59

BAGRICHEV may have been case officer
from US to London -

Paekovskiy investigation -
wireless? if Propaganda knows Pen -

Supported Viaduch
Maurice de Jean -

Dinosau - Vakhushko

SASHA aspect - did not report
Kopiekin - special status under Gubanso
he should know - important - no details
56-59- 60 A down V know where K was -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

SASHA — Nikolayev

Pictures travel Germany Kurlin — Moscow


SASHA (Oplor) film Landing
pictures from Europe up to A.

Victor Kocher Case.

Article about penetration CIA of KGB —
whose also — Person how came about
thing — ref by Moscow —

Mamedova Tamara —
analysis FBI reporting —

overlap similarities NOS & G info —
Preis found —

Will case man allegedly, 

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Sees with Gorman name

Craig, now - possible contact
May 60 - Rosenberg-

Morone (Morton)

If appear Rosenberg bl. case
revised -

Kovshuk doc 60. Jan '61
2 cases cannot be connected -

~~REDACTED~~ info in 1959 -
no recruitment

60 recruitment but not explained -

45 minutes - written out -
1

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Taylor approached later outside -
NOS explanation -

Dweller -
most crucial point - see himself
not others - 1
more - GARBLER

TAPE 3

~~Garbler~~

~~K~~ HORBALLY (HORBALLY)

Popov case indication that KGB
learned he warned CIA he under
control. Clear up - penetration CIA -

KOVSHUK arrival - connected
Langelle - examine -

Recruited CIA man Moscow
before Langelle - Kovshuk would work
in Wash.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD


SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

Trap re Kozlovsk sleeping
drinking may be cover-up -

Will not analyze Cherepanov
papers -
dis (mis) information - major by
itself -

also support Nosenko -
ground work for new operations

 cherepanov leads of Section -

Harmstone paper - cited head of
Section ZHUKOV in 58 - aug -
worked against diplomats -
inconsistent - against
military - clear to Nosenko -

Analysis info not convincing
mission

Misinformation fits Counter
intelligence

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

GROUP 1
EXCLUDED FROM AUTOMATIC
DOWNGRADING AND
DECLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

On evidence no doubt
provocateur - sent

60-61 crucial chosen to
give him status access to
leads -

Reinvestigation suggestions
to come later -

CIA - code man 60-61

Plenkovich case -

CIA complex 55 - to

Plenkovich -

SA - may not want comment -

OSwald - nothing to state
First polygraph - I had heard
nothing before assassination

French leads - we
have not examined

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

De Jean French leader
don't work 157 N-

Viaduct - British results
along lines French -

motivation - not examined

Barghoun - case figured
prominently to come to our
attention

Coordinating Committee
FCD & SCD 1 - not exactly

Coordinating Section within
FCD - not 2 Directorates
mainly coordinating intelligence
and work against American
intelligence -

Not for purpose of coordinating
FCD & SCD influential
but -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

main time came up was with Bureau
FBI re Sasha

French leads -
De Jean - ^{A says} partly confirmed
by Viaduct + Nosako as
in interrogation (compromised)
De Jean said he approached
& gave some info to Gribanov
Chalet said per A -

(JA) → GORBUNOV (Gribanov)

Giving away of De Jean -

DST says no recruitment
agreed no an agent

(Canadian Case) Rock Bottom - Canadian Out -
Nos leads fit Gritzyn leads -

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

~~SECRET~~
CLASSIFICATION

~~SECRET~~

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

16 January '69

SUBJECT

60-61 Nosenko's position

FILE NUMBER

(Anatole Reviewing Some Nosenko papers)

2 points of "misinformation"
from Nosenko

1. He was not in American Section, 60-61 and he could not be Deputy Chief - (N says he was)
2. There were no reassignments of AMEMB personnel during this period.

If N is established as in American Section then point 2, i.e., Nosenko's claims, follows but this is not the case.

Dec. 60 - Jan 61 - in offices
V. PETROV's Section, Petrov told
personnel he could not find
such reports and that look for
it

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

V c/c - c/c 1929A

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

SUBJECT

FILE NUMBER

(2) 60-61 noseuko -

If Petrov not responsible for Embassy why would he have "miked" reports in this Section? A overheard him ask for reports.

A says he is sure that before his defection N would have no problem learning about recruitments and operations. Embassy announced successes - rewards followed in few days - this known. Point A makes is that N would know - he could analyze who doing what and piece together with announcements - rewards to cases and targets.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

MEMORANDUM FOR THE RECORD

DATE

15 January 69

SUBJECT

SKVORTSOV - Storsberg Case
America House

FILE NUMBER

A says nowhere that SKVORTSOV could pose as French businessman. A says he knows. S does not know enough French - few words - not enough cover - too risky. Questions Storsberg identification - question in A's mind. S also noted Storsberg and Morone identification and that SKVortsov used English and went to America House with Finnish party. A says cover would break easily.

In Vienna SKVortsov did not know French - A could be wrong - maybe SKVortsov started learning in Germany after '55.

OFFICE AND TITLE

SIGNATURE

W. S. Sullivan